

NARRATIVE INQUIRY AS AN ASSESSMENT TOOL

by

Dr. Lesley S. J. Farmer

California State University Long Beach

Key words: assessment, online, journaling, library, information, community of practice

Abstract

In an effort to study assessment as an element in the scholarship of teaching, the investigator incorporated narrative inquiry into her foundations of information and library media management courses. Students electronically posted and shared reflections about their life of information or three course-related critical incidents they experienced that semester. A content-analysis matrix was developed to capture: choice of topic, source of conflict/program, interaction with information/situation, and demographic information. Students in the information course became more objective and pro-active. Students in the management course focused on: human relationships, resource management, and administrative details. Electronic journaling enabled students to: self-assess areas for improvement; and assess peers' situations and problem-solving approaches. Journals helped instructors to assess: 1) students' areas of concern; 2) how students solved critical issues; 3) degree to which course content dealt with the critical events. The activity also fostered a sense of a community of practice, and helped link academic coursework and field experience.

Assessment serves as a core activity in course implementation, both for student and instructor improvement. Specifically, assessing student work on a formative basis helps instructors diagnosis student process and gaps in learning. This information can be used to modify course delivery as well as provide feedback for students so they can make their own adjustments in learning approaches. Students can also self-assess their experiences and learning. When shared, these reflections can be used to help students understand concepts through contextualization and generate knowledge. Reflections can also be reviewed by colleagues to provide peer coaching. Instructors can analyze student self-assessments to identify individual and class trends in understanding and application. Moreover, instructors can triangulate the assessments to determine student self-efficacy.

With the incorporation of communications technology, students and instructors can interact more actively, and preserve their thinking processes more easily. Work can be posted and shared quickly and efficiently. Conversations about academic topics can continue throughout the course regardless of in-class time constraints. Ideas can be preserved. As a result, assessment can be more effective.

In an effort to study assessment as an element in the scholarship of teaching, the researcher of this exploratory investigation incorporated narrative inquiry into her foundations of information course, where she had students write about their “life of information. In her library media management course, the researcher had students write about three course-related critical incidents that they experienced that semester. These activities served as a basis for: 1) identifying possible reasons for differences among student performances; and 2) reviewing course content and delivery.

Theoretical Context

By its nature, much of the formal preparation for school librarianship melds theory and practice. Increasingly, service learning and field experience comprise central components of this academic program. Service learning, in particular, allows students to test the veracity of theories in real-life contexts as well as apply abstract knowledge to concrete situations. Indeed, most state and NCATE (National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education) standards require field experience. Under the NCATE School Library Media Specialist curriculum standards, the reviewers ask for description of practicum experiences: “the information here should show how candidates are given opportunity to practice teaching the skills and knowledge specified in the standards.”¹

To insure that the learning circle is complete, those same real-world experiences need to be processed and generalized conceptually. Reflective journaling provides a means for students to critically analyze their life experiences, framing theory contextually. When students share these reflections, they can then compare both their experiences and their conclusions in order to draw valid inferences across contexts² and generate knowledge.³ This exercise has been recommended specifically for library science preparation⁴ and more generally for professional development.⁵ Technology optimizes this process because students can access, analyze, and respond to peers' entries at their convenience. In her review of journaling for learning, Moon⁶ posits several other benefits:

- development of questioning attitude and critical thinking skills
- means to understand one's own learning process
- increased intellectual involvement
- increased self-empowerment and responsibility for learning
- alternative means of self-expression.

In terms of research methodology, drawing from experience to deepen understanding of the theoretical may be linked to naturalistic inquiry: "research that focuses on how people behave when they are absorbed in genuine life experiences in natural settings."⁷ The underlying premise is that social context influences what occurs, so inquiry may be validly pursued in natural settings. Moreover, personal interpretation is impossible to escape. Students journaling about their experiences exemplify autoethnography, i.e., examining their own lives. Instructors acting as researchers are engaging in ethnography as they discover social acquired and share shared understandings.

Within naturalistic inquiry, reflective journaling combines biographical methodology and narrative inquiry. The biographical aspect arises from exploring one's own experiences. The narrative aspect is derived from the "story making" used to construct meaning from experience. By sharing journals, students and instructors link life experiences and generate shared knowledge. Assessment of such journaling enables both student and instructor to examine the effects of milieu and strategies on the learner, and to identify interventions to optimize future practice.

In this exploratory investigation, the journaling activity focused on critical incidents: identifying and analyzing key events. Boud further asserts that reflection after an event can be particularly valuable because it enables the learner to revisit an experience and link it with information and insights gained since the time of the original incident. Indeed, the very act of selecting a specific event among other occurrences serves as an assessment task in the same way that one identifies the key phrase or most important fact in an essay, particularly if the learner

justifies the basis for that selection. Furthermore, in: 1) describing the main issues of the event, 2) tracing the decision-making process, and 3) identifying the main points learned, the learner hones metacognitive skills and helps build a personal knowledge base that can be shared with others.

Finally, journal writing provides a means for self-assessment, which contributes to the body of evidence demonstrating professional competence. Furthermore, it recognizes each individual's responsibility and involvement in a community of practice. Boud⁸ states:

We cannot expect students to become competent professionals unless they learn to be actively involved in constructing and reconstructing notions of good practice as they proceed. It is important to note though, that the practiced of self assessment does not imply that this engagement is an isolated or individualistic activity. It commonly involves peers, makes use of teachers and other practitioners and draws upon appropriate literature.

Of particular import in this study was the use of online technology to foster a community of practice. Students not only share their personal experiences, but they have several opportunities to respond to their peers' work. This approach enables students to ask for other people's advice as well as draw upon their course knowledge and career experience in a reflective manner to inform their peers. Although the communications channel is secure, there can be a perception that experiences need to be filtered for public view; still, the choice of events to share indicates topics that warrant examination and response across the class over time. Thus, this exploratory study also investigates the impact of online communication in the identification and communication of critical school library issues.

Research Question

This exploratory study investigated student self-reporting of their life of information, and critical events related to issues of school library media management. The following research questions guided the analysis.

- How does the curriculum impact narrative inquiry: choice and action of critical issues?
- How does an online environment impact discussion?
- How do the critical issues and their discussion change over time?

LI 500 Foundations of Information

LI 500 Foundations of Information, provides a foundation for library and information science, and underlies the societal context of information and technology. The delivery mode of the course addressed the need to incorporate technology to optimize access to relevant resources (many of which in information science occur only in electronic form) as well as foster telecommunications and collaboration.

A major assessment tool to measure student learning was journaling. Library Media Teacher (LMT) students were required to write weekly self-reflections about information within the context of the course. Students could draw upon coursework, career efforts, and personal experience. For developing a thick dataset of course-centric impact, this kind of critical autographical representation was an effective assessment approach. These journals were analyzed in terms of timing, source of inspiration, and insights/outcomes. The other major method for measuring student learning was student work based on assignments, some of which were online entries.

The following aspects were identified for journal entries: date of entry, entry which replied to a peer's entry, entry which replied to the instructor's entry, entry in reply to a peer, entry in reply to the instructor, source of inspiration (class, online chat, readings, peer's comments, work site, assignment, personal), contribution of source, insight on information (description, affective reflection, application, implication, theorizing), ethics and values, library media teacher (LMT) role, action, learning/metacognition.

Findings

Nine students enrolled in LI500 Foundations of Information: one male (under 30 years old), and eight females (mainly in their forties). All worked in K-12 settings. Even though students were not graded on the quantity or quality of their journal entries (beyond having a minimum number of 15 entries), there was a direct positive correlation between the number of entries and the grade, with a natural "break" at 50 entries. Additionally, those students who wrote consistently throughout the semester tended to get better grades. In a couple of cases, students wrote regularly but posted their entries infrequently so others could not provide timely feedback. Students tended to "clump" their entries: just before classes, on Sundays and Mondays, and at the end of the semester.

Students tended to use the readings as their journal "touchstones": 47 entries. 38 entries built on site experiences, 20 responded to class experiences, 13 entries were based on peers' entries, 8 entries referred to the class's online chats, and 26 entries related to other resources: television, past experiences, or other self-identified resources. Towards the end, students started to suggest other sources for consideration. As students became more

comfortable with each other in class, they tended to respond to peer issues face-to-face, and write about their responses to readings online. That is, they self-determined the most effective environment in which to communicate on different sources of information.

Some students wrote topically, providing a unified theme to reflect their week's experiences relative to information: censorship, access, "rabbit holes." Others used a daily log approach. One student always wrote in response to another student's journal. Their approach did not affect their grade. However, those students who tended to generalize their insights about information tended to demonstrate deeper understanding, which was reflected in their assignments. Thus the journal mirrored their intellectual understanding of the coursework.

At the beginning of the semester, students tended to respond to their source material by describing it and sometimes giving an emotional response to it. Later on, they began to write about means to apply their insights about information. Even later they focused on theory and the implications of information's characteristics. Ethics and values of information were seen to be addressed directly after a class session that included that topic.

- In working on the group case study, I have had lots of time to reflect on the issue of privacy. I have never considered privacy to be a basic right ... What I've come to realize over the last week is that public libraries, even though they are public, serve a vital information centers, and under no circumstances do I want people not using it because of privacy issues. Thus, regarding libraries, I have totally reversed my opinion, and now advocate for the protection of privacy of patron records.
- As much as I had fought going back to school after getting my B.A. and teaching credential, I must say that this might be a great opportunity to get a master's. The report (research proposal) I read was right down our "alley" with the theme I've always been a proponent for bilingual education. Maybe I could use that theme to create a project to fit into the library/information literacy area. I need to start thinking about it now.

On the other hand, the role of the LMT was noted throughout the semester on a fairly even time distribution. Calls to action, or acting on information, grew over the semester and peaked about the same time that students "grasped the idea" about the role of information in their lives: just before the third class, which occurred midway into the semester.

- I was looking at an episode of Enterprise that dealt with time travel. The captain and a time traveler were about to enter a library and their concern was that the device they had would not be able to be used to give them the info they needed because the library probably did not have the technology to play it. As they entered the building with its dusk and decay they were shocked to see books made of paper! This blew me away because I had just finished reading Rubin a few days before where he writes about the rush to embrace new ways to store information but future generation might not have access to it because their machines no longer exist that can make it readable.
- Do you find that since the class started everything seems to come back to information?
- I think this class has awakened my consciousness about information. Before we have all (at least I did) taken it for granted. Now I'm looking at it in different ways and appreciating it more.
- Recently, I mentioned the importance of tacit knowledge in a conversation with my principal and, because I better understood why I was able to do what I do, I was able to better articulate the unique and valuable role the librarian plays in a library media center.

Interestingly, students did not comment on one another's breakthrough on the importance and ubiquity of information – or its transformation in terms of LMT mindset.

LI 550 Library Media Center Management

Students in the library media center management class were asked to write up three critical events related to the course. They were to submit them at the end of the first, second, and third month of the semester course, with the intent that students would discuss current issues. The directions for the reports were as follows: "Identify three critical events related to library management. For each, write a narrative that describes the event, states why it is critical, states what you decided -- and the basis for your decision, and states what you learned. If you are a classroom teacher, think about your interactions with the library/librarian. If you are not in a school setting, interview an LMT [library media teacher] about a critical event."

Students submitted their work online, and were asked to read and respond to one other student's submission. They were graded on completing each aspect of the task. The instructor responded to each student's critical event, and read all of the replies, commenting on about a third of them. For each set of events, the instructor

provided overall feedback to the class via email. Those students who did not want to share their incident with their peers could email their report directly to the instructor; only one did. They were also notified that their work was going to be used for research purposes; all approved that purpose.

At the end of the semester, the students' work was archived for further study. A matrix was developed to capture the following data: source of conflict/program, source of support, and resolution. Captured demographic data included: employment status, number of years working in a school or other type of library, presence of other library staff, years of classroom teaching experience, library media courses taken. From the investigator's first reading of the submission, entries were categorized in alignment with the course content; topics not covered in the course were categorized separately. Entries were then coded according to the categories and problem-solving process, noting the author. The investigator performed a content analysis on the matrix to identify trends, and to cross-reference the data (both the topic as well as the process) with the course delivery.

Findings

Thirty-two students submitted critical events, but five of those described events of other librarians and did not detail the needed demographics so those write-ups were not used in the study. Demographic information follows:

N = 27 graduate students (32 originally; 5 described situations of other librarians)

Graduate program: 24 library media teacher program; 3 educational technology program

Gender: 8 male; 19 females

Ethnicity: 20 Anglo; 4 African American; 3 Latinos

Titles: 9 classroom teachers; 18 librarians (6 first year, 6 second year, 2 third year, 2 fourth year, 1 sixth year, 1 tenth year)

Years of classroom teaching: range from 0 to 32 years; median = 12 years

Identification of Critical Events

The central management issue, as identified by students, was human relationships. Interestingly, this aspect of the professional is a separate standard within the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing for Library Media Teachers. (It should be noted that in a separate survey administered to library media teacher students, most respondents thought that they had good human relationship skills, even if they were just entering the program.) In most cases, the stance taken by the librarians was reactive --- to other people's actions as they impacted library

service. If the “offending” person seemed to be cooperative, the student resolved the incident through negotiation and occasional referral to policies. In some cases, students worked through fellow teachers or appealed to an administrator to back them up. Site group discussion appeared to be a powerful way to solve problems. In most cases, students did a good job in identifying the appropriate person with whom to resolve the particular issue.

The second greatest issue dealt with the management of resources. In some cases, the problem was bureaucratic (i.e., use of funds, process flow); the other issue usually dealt with circulation problems. About half of the students had not taken the selection course, and of those only one had successfully resolved her problem (and she was: 1) close to finishing her program, and 2) working as the school librarian). The issue of challenged material was discussed at the same time that it was covered as a course online activity: probably the closest alignment of course content and event. As a result of the journaling, the selection course will be modified to spend more time discussing textbooks and bureaucratic processes.

The third main issue focused on traditional administrative issues: money, space, policies. The present course content deals with the design and space allocation of facilities, but should also include discussion about library use for non-library events since that was the central issue for several students. As stated above, linking specific policies to specific management issues is probably the most effective way to deliver the information. Thus, policies should be a running “strand” in this and other courses.

Solving Critical Issues

In those cases where students did not resolve their incident successfully, they tended to have neither the relevant course background nor library experience. On the other hand, having had the relevant coursework alone or having worked in a library without the underlying coursework did not *guarantee* that an issue would be solved satisfactorily; even if students were in a library position *and* had the coursework, they still might not be able to resolve the issue positively. In a couple of cases, the reason for unsuccessful resolution (i.e., the outcome was not the one desired by the student) was an issue of control: the administration had final say (e.g., job status or resource allocation). There was no significant correlation between the success rate of problem solving and course progress. At least three reasons account for this phenomenon: students did not necessarily discuss an issue that occurred during that month, they exhibited varying degrees of comfort in posting their events and revealing their own situations, and students self-selected their event so may have chosen them to demonstrate their ability to solve a problem – or to elicit help. Interestingly, there did not seem to be a change in the degree of self-revelation over the

semester, or a significant difference in the amount of self-revelation in relation to the student's progress in the program.

Impact on Curriculum

The critical events chosen aligned with the course content to a great extent. Students seemed to choose events that did indeed reflect management issues. Course discussions and peer postings often led to the choice of critical event to report. However, some of their events were not covered sufficiently in the course to help them in their practice; some assistance arose through the online discussions, however. In a few cases, the issue was one that was dealt with in another course, e.g., technology, selection, reference and information literacy. There was no significant relationship between topic and timing within the semester.

It was hoped that the online journaling of critical events would help students bridge their coursework with their daily careers. Furthermore, the investigator hoped that the identification of and response to critical events would inform course development. Thus, based on the reflections, the investigator recommended that the course content would be modified as follows:

- add explicit discussion on collaboration/negotiation/advocacy with administrators and parents
 - add face-to-face time on advocacy and negotiating skills, including role-play and other simulations
 - add explicit discussion on adult development and organizational behavior
 - explicitly link curriculum with student research projects
 - explicitly link specific policies with specific management issues rather than group policies together
- state which other courses deal with specific management issues.

Impact of Narrative Inquiry

In the final analysis, having students write online about critical issues and events impacted learning in the following ways:

- It provided a means to discuss and share issues in a safe and supportive environment.
- It provided a means to articulate and analyze practice, focusing on identifying effective methods rather than complaining.
- It optimized thoughtful reflection and meaningful suggestions, particularly for students who were reticent to speak in class.

- It facilitated a community of practice whereby students with prior academic and work experience could help neophytes enter the profession.
- It helped contextualize theory, and transition from practice to concept.
- It provided a way to blur the lines between class time and online time, and also freed up time for the instructor to focus on scheduled content during face-to-face sessions.
- It helped identify curricular areas that needed more extensive coverage.

To optimize the use of critical events, the following course activities are suggested:

- Have students help build the agenda for each face-to-face and online session based on the findings of the critical events.
- Have students explicitly identify ways that their coursework helps them solve management issues at their work site.
- Insure that instructors refer to the critical events as they deliver course content.
- Archive in-class discussion of critical issues and events, using the same guidelines as for written reflection; compare the in-class and online discussions.
- Conduct follow-up focus groups to reveal other course issues; compare the findings with the written reflections.

Implications and Conclusions

Online reflective journaling was a useful course delivery method and assessment vehicle. It also facilitated a sense of a community of practice, extending interactivity beyond formal class time. Posting identified issues of importance to students, and provided a means to share concerns and effective problem-solving techniques. Students had control of the content and presentation so they could assume more responsibility for learning as well as determine the extent that they wished to reveal themselves.

In terms of evaluation, online journaling acted as a means for students to: 1) self-assess their areas of strength and weakness; 2) assess each other's situations and problem-solving approaches; 3) assess content areas that needed to be addressed. On the part of the instructors, the journals helped them to: 1) assess the areas of concern for students; 2) assess how students solved critical issues; 3) assess the degree to which course content dealt with student-identified critical events.

The length of time, focus on one assessment method, variability in student response, and population limitations constrain the investigator's license to generalize the findings. However, the process has been insightful and provides a foundation for further study. Online critical event journaling constitutes one viable way to uncover and deal with relevant issues. Similarly, the increasing emphasis on communities of practice and knowledge management also provides a venue for the use of critical event reflection and sharing. In the process, the articulation between academic preparation and professional growth can be bridged more seamlessly.

References and Notes

1. American Association of School Librarians. *Program Standards: School Library Media Specialist Preparation* (Washington, DC: NCATE, 2003), 26.
2. David Boud. "Using journal writing to enhance reflective practice." In Leona English and Marie Gillen, J. (Eds). *Promoting Journal Writing in Adult Education*. New Directions in Adult and Continuing Education No.90. (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2001), 9-18.
3. John Flavell. *Cognitive Development*. (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1977).
4. Elaine Yontz and Kathleen de la Pena McCook. Service-Learning and LIS Education. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science*, 44 (Winter 2003), 55-68.
5. Donald Schon. *The Reflective Practitioner* (London: Temple Smith, 1983).
6. Jennifer Moon. *Reflection in Learning and Professional Development* (London: Kogan Page, 1999).
7. Derek Lane. *Theory and Research Methods* (Lexington: University of Kentucky, 2002).
8. David Boud. "Avoiding the Traps: Seeking Good Practice in the Use of Self Assessment and Reflection in Professional Courses," *Social Work Education*, 18(2) (1999), 123.